

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/878,719	Applicant(s) MINKOW ET AL.
	Examiner Anthony D Barfield	Art Unit 3636

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anthony D Barfield. (3) _____.

(2) Edward Schlatter. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2002.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: bicycle saddle with cut-out.

Claim(s) discussed: proposed claim 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Plus bicycle seat from advertisement, Wheeler USP 594,451 and Henderson USP 576,310.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney agreed to submit an amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance over the above prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required